

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,255	01/30/2006	Akito Fukui	L9289.06108	3522
53989 7550 DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC 1901 L STREET NW			EXAMINER	
			ANWAR, MOHAMMAD S	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		4125	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/566,255 FUKUI, AKITO Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MOHAMMAD ANWAR 4125 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 03/284,930.
- 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) ∑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Anniang Review (PTO-948) 3) ∑ Information Disculsaver Statement(s) (PTO/Sbic8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/30/06, 8/25/08.	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date. 5) Action of Informal Patert Application 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/566,255 Page 2

Art Unit: 4125

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because Figures 1-7 should have a proper descriptive legends such as SGSN (serving GPRS support node). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. Figures 1 & 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled

Application/Control Number: 10/566,255

Art Unit: 4125

"Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office

action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

 Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

For claim 5, the claim is directed to a computer program per se, which is nonstatutory subject matter.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/566,255

Art Unit: 4125

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treatly in the English language.

 Claims 1,2,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Helander et al. (6735187).

For claims 1, 2, 4 & 5. Helander et al. disclose a packet communication system (see column 2 lines 36-37 and lines 60-61) comprising a user communication apparatus (see column 2 line 36, column 6 line 13), an operator communication apparatus (column 6 lines 2-6) that performs communications with the user communication apparatus (see column 6 lines 9-16) and a communication network that performs communications with both the user communication apparatus and the operator communication apparatus in which the user communication apparatus comprises an internal network (see column 5 lines 3-40), a base station apparatus connected to the internal network (see column 6 lines 61-65), an internal server connected to the internal network (see figures 2.3.4 and column 6 lines 12-16), a radio network control apparatus connected between the internal network and the operator communication apparatus (see figures 2.3.4 and column 3 lines 54-59), and a mobile communication terminal apparatus that performs communications with the base station apparatus by radio signal (see column 6 lines 61-63), wherein the radio network control apparatus receives a packet from the mobile communication terminal apparatus via the base station apparatus and the internal

Application/Control Number: 10/566,255 Art Unit: 4125

network and directly transfers the packet to the internal server via the internal network (see column 6 lines 63-67 and column 7 lines 1-7).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Helander et al. in view of Anderson et al. (US20060135159).

For claim 3, Helander et al. disclose all the subject matter but fails to mention an internal address table that stores addresses of packets to directly transfer to the internal server; a determiner that compares an address of the packet from the mobile communication terminal apparatus with the addresses stored in the internal address table to determine whether or not the packet from the mobile communication terminal

Application/Control Number: 10/566,255

Art Unit: 4125

apparatus is to directly transfer to the internal server; and a section that directly transfers the packet to the internal server via the internal network when the determiner determines that the packet is to directly transfer to the internal server. However, Anderson et al. from a similar field of endeavor disclose an internal address table that stores addresses of packets to directly transfer to the internal server (see paragraph 9 lines 1-3, paragraph 11 lines 1-4); a determiner that compares an address of the packet from the mobile communication terminal apparatus with the addresses stored in the internal address table to determine whether or not the packet from the mobile communication terminal apparatus is to directly transfer to the internal server (see paragraph 10 lines 1-4); and a section that directly transfers the packet to the internal server via the internal network when the determiner determines that the packet is to directly transfer to the internal server (see paragraph 11 lines 1-4, paragraph 16 lines 1-5). The method can be implemented in the hardware and software. The motivation of doing this is to route packets effectively.

#### Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Perlman et al. (5796740) and Takeda (7143187).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD ANWAR whose telephone number is (571)270-5641. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,255 Art Unit: 4125

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dang Ton can be reached on 571-272-3171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MOHAMMAD ANWAR Examiner Art Unit 4125

/M. A./
Examiner, Art Unit 4125
/DANG T TON/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616/D. T. T./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616